1	URIGI	NAL	0000135562					
2 3 4	Date:	March 29, 2010	RECEIVED					
5 6 7 8 9	To:	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Comm 1200 West Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007	ISSION COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL					
10 11 12 13	From:	Robert T. Hardcastle Payson Water Co., Inc. (661) 633-7526	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED APR - 2 2017					
14 15 16	FOR FILI	NG ORIGINAL AND 13 COPIES INT DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0008						
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	By:	Robert T. Hardcastle						

35 36

1.	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION						
2							
3	Robert T. Hardcastle Payson Water Co., Inc. RECEIVED						
4							
5	P.O. Box 82218 2012 APR -2 A 9: 45						
6	MOIPZIMAGO						
7	Representing Itself In Propia Personal COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL						
8							
9	COMMISSIONERS						
10	Gary Pierce, Chairman						
11	Paul Newman, Commissioner Brenda Burns, Commissioner						
12 13	Bob Stump, Commissioner						
14	Sandra D. Kennedy, Commissioner						
15							
16	IN THE MATTER OF J. STEPHEN) Docket No. W-03514A-12-0008						
17	GEHRING, BOBBY JONES, AND LOIS)						
18 19	JONES, COMPLAINTANTS) REPLY TO COMPLAINTANT'S RESPONSE TO PAYSON WATER						
20	VS. CO.'S MOTION TO STRIKE						
21) NON-EVIDENTIARY PARTY						
22	PAYSON WATER CO., INC., AND MOTION TO DENY						
23	RESPONDENT)						
24	Complainants Gehring and Jones (hereafter "Complainants") have filed a Formal						
25							
26	Complaint into Docket No. W-03514A-12-0008 based on previously submitted informal						
27	complaints number 2011-98439 and 2011-98782. On March 29, 2012 Payson Water Co.,						
28	Inc. "PYWCo") received Complainant's "Response and Objection to Respondents						
29	Motion to Strike Complainant's Referral to Evidentiary Party and Motion to Deny"						
30	(hereafter the "Response").						
31	Complainant's further references to PYWCo's improperly captioned Motion are						
32	without merit. The Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure apply only when the Commission's						
33	rules are undeclared or silent (A.A.C. R14-3-101(A)). Complainant's misplaced citation						
34	of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure 17 and 19 (a) are without bearing and are						
35	meaningless since the Commission exerts jurisdiction only over regulated entities and						
36	public service corporations. In the instant Complaint the Commission has issued a						
	Docket No. W-03514A-12-0008 Page 1 of 5						

1.	Procedural	Order	dated	March	19,	2012	which	governs	the	rules	of	conduct	and
2	procedural compliance in this matter.												

Complainant's admit that Payson Water Co., Inc. ("PYWCo") has no contractual relationship with Martin's Trucking Service ("Martin's") (see also Response, page 2, second paragraph). Complainant refers to Martin's as "not a Company" without further explanation or offering clarification as to what business type, entity, or organization Martin's is. PYWCo has not a single fact that explains the disposition of Martin's, its ownership, functions, nature of business, or any other detail with specificity.

The Response is unsupported as it relates to Martin's as described in Complaint section B (23) and (25). Section B (26) of the Complaint draws conclusions in part based on the "interview" alleged to have occurred with Martin's on or about July 31, 2012. As part of its evidentiary foundation Complainant's have failed on two separate previous occasions to produce documents of the interview notes (see Response, page 2, paragraph 3, last sentence). As the party with the burden to prove its case Complainant's offer no information other than allegations and accusations to meet its burden. It is not the responsibility of PYWCo to disprove what has not been evidentiarily offered but rather Complainant's burden to sustain its allegations and accusations with evidence in support thereof.

PYWCo has no contract nor direct business relationship with Martin's. In order for PYWCo to fairly respond to Complainants allegations and accusations there must be tangible evidence to respond to. No such evidence has been presented by Complainants.

PYWCo respectfully requests the Commission and the Administrative Law Judge approve its Motion to Strike references to Martin's as part of the Complaint and asks that it be stricken form the record as well as prohibiting Complainant's from using any information at Hearing until Complainant establishes a relevant business relationship between PYWCo and Martin's.

27

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

Payson Water Co., Inc. ,1 > 2 By: 3 Robert/T. Hardcastle 4 In Propia Persona 5 6 ORIGINAL and 13 copies filed 7 this 21 day of March 2012, with: 8 9 **Docket Control** 10 **Arizona Corporation Commission** 11 1200 West Washington St. 12 Phoenix, AZ 85007 13 14 And copies mailed to the following: 15 16 Dwight Nodes, Administrative Law Judge 17 **HEARING DIVISION** 18 Arizona Corporation Commission 19 1200 West Washington St. 20 Phoenix, AZ 85007 21 22 J. Stephen Gehring 23 8157 Deadeye Rd. 24 Payson, AZ 85541 25 26 **Bobby Jones** 27 Lois Jones 28 29 7325 No. Caballero Rd. Payson, AZ 85541 30 31 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 32 Legal Division 33 Arizona Corporation Commission 34 1200 West Washington St. 35 Phoenix, AZ 85007 36 37 38 39 Steve Olea **Utilities Division** 40 Arizona Corporation Commission 41

Docket No. W-03514A-12-0008

1200 West Washington St. ٦, 2 Phoenix, AZ 85007 3 4 Robin Mitchell, Esq. 5 Arizona Corporation Commission 6 1200 West Washington St. 7 Phoenix, AZ 85007 8 9 Patrick Black, Esq. 10 Fennemore Craig 3003 No. Central Ave., Suite 2600 11 Phoenix, AZ, 85012-2913 12 13 Вy: 14 Robert T. Hardcastle 15 Payson Water Co., Inc. 16 17 18